

#### 1<sup>st</sup> Brazilian Workshop on Interior Point Methods

27-28 April, 2015 - Campinas, Brazil

#### An adaptive preconditioner for primal blockangular problems by an interior point method

Silvana Bocanegra and Jordi Castro,





E-mail: <u>silvana@deinfo.ufrpe.br;</u> jordi.castro@upc.edu;

- Problems with block angular structure are common in many applications;
- The efficiency of Interior Point Methods (IPM) depends of the linear system solver used to compute the Newton direction;
- Preconditioned Iterative linear solvers may be more efficient to solve large-scale problems due to storage and time limitations;
- An efficient specialized IPM for primal block-angular problems solved the normal equations in two stages: Cholesky factorizations for the block constraints and a PCG for the linking constraint;

- The preconditioner used in second stage considers a few terms of an infinite power series which provides the inverse of the Schur complement of the normal equations
- The more terms of the power series, the more accurate the preconditioner, at the expense of increasing the running time of each PCG iteration



4

3

tripart1 tripart2

tripart3

tripart4

gridgent

----

114 119

Is possible to find a number of terms used on each interior point iteration to provides better results?



| Instance | $_{k}$ | m      | n      |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| tripart1 | 16     | 3294   | 33774  |
| tripart2 | 16     | 13301  | 135941 |
| tripart3 | 20     | 25541  | 329161 |
| tripart4 | 35     | 38004  | 869814 |
| gridgen1 | 320    | 329831 | 985191 |

## Outline

- We propose an adaptive scheme for update the number of terms used in the preconditioner at each interior point iteration. This scheme is based on Ritz Values
- Ritz values can thus be used to estimate the spectral radius of a certain matrix in the power series, which measures the efficiency of the preconditioner.
- Preliminary numerical experiments are provide to both multicommodity flows and the minimum congestion problems.

### The specialized block-angular IPM

A block angular-problem can be written in this general formulation min  $\sum_{i=0}^{k} (c^{i^{T}} x^{i} + x^{i^{T}} Q_{i} x^{i})$ s.t.  $\begin{bmatrix} N_{1} & b_{1} & \\ N_{2} & \\ & \ddots & \\ & N_{k} & \\ L_{1} & L_{2} & \dots & L_{k} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^{1} \\ x^{2} \\ \vdots \\ x^{k} \\ x^{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b^{1} \\ b^{2} \\ \vdots \\ b^{k} \\ b^{0} \end{bmatrix}$  $0 \leq x^{i} \leq u^{i} \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$ 

 One of the most efficient IPMs for block-angular problems solves the normal equations exploiting the block structure

$$A\Theta A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} N_{1}\Theta_{1}N_{1}^{T} & N_{1}\Theta_{1}L_{1}^{T} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & N_{k}\Theta_{k}N_{k}^{T} & N_{k}\Theta_{k}L_{k}^{T} \\ & & L_{1}\Theta_{1}N_{1}^{T} & \dots & L_{k}\Theta_{k}N_{k}^{T} & \Theta_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}L_{i}\Theta_{i}L_{i}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^{T} & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta y_{1} \\ \Delta y_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1} \\ g_{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7$$

## Cholesky + PCG

(COAP 2007)

• **Stage I:** Cholesky factorization for each block :

$$B\Delta y_1 = (g_1 - C\Delta y_2).$$

• **Stage II:** PCG for Linking constrains

$$(D - C^T B^{-1} C) \Delta y_2 = (g_2 - C^T B^{-1} g_1)$$

## **Power Series Preconditioner:**

$$(D - C^T B^{-1} C) \Delta y_2 = (g_2 - C^T B^{-1} g_1)$$

Power series preconditioner: M<sup>-1</sup>

$$(D - C^{T}B^{-1}C)^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (D^{-1}(C^{T}B^{-1}C))^{i}\right)D^{-1}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} M^{-1} &=& D^{-1} & \text{if } h = 0, \\ M^{-1} &=& (I + D^{-1} (C^T B^{-1} C)) D^{-1} & \text{if } h = 1. \\ M^{-1} &=& (I + D^{-1} (C^T B^{-1} C) + D^{-1} (C^T B^{-1} C)^2) D^{-1} & \text{if } h = 2. \end{array}$$

## **Power Series Preconditioner:**

The effectiveness of this preconditioner depends on the spectral radius of  $D^{-1}(C^TB^{-1}C)^{-1}$ 

which is in [0,1). The farther away from 1, the better is the preconditioner.

A procedure to estimate spectral radius of  $D^{-1}(C^T B^{-1}C)^{-1}$ was recently introduced for h = 0.

**Proposition 1.** Let v be the eigenvector of matrix  $I - D^{-1}(C^T B^{-1}C)$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then, v is eigenvector of  $D^{-1}(C^T B^{-1}C)$  associated to eigenvalue  $1 - \lambda$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\lambda_{min} \ge 0$  be the minimum eigenvalue of  $I - D^{-1}(C^{T-1}B^{-1}C)$ . Therefore, the spectral radius of  $D^{-1}(C^{T}B^{-1}C)$  is  $1 - \lambda_{min}$ .

The espectral radius of the preconditioned matrix can be estimated from de solution of the system by PCG using the relation of Lanczos and CG.

The eigenvalues of the tridiagonal matrices

$$T_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{1} & \eta_{2} & & \\ \eta_{2} & \gamma_{2} & \eta_{3} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \eta_{k-1} & \gamma_{k-1} & \eta_{k} \\ & & & & \eta_{k} & \gamma_{k} \end{pmatrix},$$

k = 1, ..., l, converge to the eigenvalues of the preconditioned matrix of the system solved by PCG as the number of PCG iterations approaches l.

Given 
$$x_0$$
,  $r_0 = b - Mx_0$ ,  $\rho_0 = r_0$ ,  $k = 1$ 

while  $r_k \neq 0$  and  $k < k_{max}$  $\alpha_{k-1} = \left(\frac{\|r_{k-1}\|^2}{(\rho_{k-1}, M\rho_{k-1})}\right)$  $x_k = x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}\rho_{k-1}$  $r_k = r_{k-1} - \alpha_{k-1} M \rho_{k-1}$  $\beta_{k-1} = \left(\frac{\|r_k\|^2}{\|r_{k-1}\|^2}\right)$  $\rho_k = r_k + \beta_{k-1} \rho_{k-1}$ k := k + 1end\_while.

 $\gamma_k = rac{1}{lpha_{k-1}} + rac{eta_{k-1}}{lpha_{k-2}},$ 

$$\beta_0=0, \qquad \alpha_{-1}=0,$$

$$\eta_{k+1} = -rac{\sqrt{eta_k}}{lpha_{k-1}}.$$

13

Extreme eigenvalues of the preconditioned matrix are well approximated already during early PCG iterations.

 $\checkmark$  The smallest eigenvalue  $\lambda_{min}\,$  is used to estimate the spectral radius of the preconditioned matrix

 $I - D^{-1}(C^{T}B^{-1}C)$ 

## **Ritz Values - Examples**

Proximity between the Ritz values and the eigenvalues



 $(\varepsilon^{i} = \max\{0.95\varepsilon^{i-1}, \min_{\varepsilon}\}, \min_{\varepsilon} = 10^{-8}, \text{ and } \varepsilon^{0} = 10^{-2})$ 

15

The previous estimations have been extended to consider any number  $h \ge 0$  of terms in the power series preconditioner:

**Proposition 2.** Let  $M^{-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{h} (D^{-1}(C^{T}B^{-1}C))^{i}\right) D^{-1}$  be the preconditioner with h terms of the power series. And let  $\lambda_{min}$  be the smallest eigenvalue of the preconditioned matrix  $M^{-1}S$ . Then the spectral radius of  $D^{-1}(C^{T}B^{-1}C)$  is

$$\rho =^{h+1} \sqrt{(1 - \lambda_{min})}$$

## Dynamic update of the h terms

#### Start: h=0 :

- If h < 5 and
  - 1. The estimated spectral radius  $(\tilde{\rho} = {}^{h+1} \sqrt{(1 \tilde{\lambda}_{min})}) > 0.9$
  - 2. The number of PCG iterations for solving the linear system reaches 0.10l, where l is the dimension of  $I D^{-1}(C^T B^{-1}C)$ .

#### h=h+1

Restart h if solution is worse  $\mu < 0.001$  and  $\mu_{i+1} > \mu_i$ .

### **Numerical Experiments**

|   |  |         |     |  | Instances Co                       |                       |   | Cons | straints  |     | Varia | bles    |    |
|---|--|---------|-----|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------|---|-----|-------|---------|----|
| Multicomodity flow problems<br>(oriented) |  |         |     | tripart1<br>tripart2<br>tripart3<br>tripart4<br>gridgen1 |                                    | 2<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>1 | $3294 \\ 13301 \\ 25541 \\ 38004 \\ 329831$ |      | $33774 \\ 135941 \\ 329161 \\ 869814 \\ 985191$ |     |       |         |    |
| Dynamic h terms:<br>h in [0;5]            |  |         |     |  | Original: h = 0 for all iterations |                       |   |      |   |     |       |         |    |
| Instance                                  |  | CPU (s) | it  | PCG  | (it)                               | h_0                   | h_max                                       |      | CPU (s  | 5)  | it    | PCG (it | :) |
| tripart1                                  |  | 1,52    | 56  | 99   | 3                                  | 0                     | 5   |      | 0,87  |     | 51    | 12      | 60 |
| tripart2                                  |  | 7,77    | 73  | 2208   |                                    | 0 5                   |   |      | 23,02   |     | 117   | 104     | 27 |
| tripart3                                  |  | 56,14   | 108 | 7997   |                                    | 0                     | 0 5   |      | 21,51   |     | 78    | 33      | 63 |
| tripart4                                  |  | 186,7   | 122 | 5938   |                                    | 0 5                   |   |      | fail  |     |       |         |    |
| gridgen1                                  |  | 159,49  | 207 | 198  | 9                                  | 0                     | 5   |      | 224,65  | i i | 195   | 39      | 38 |

#### **Numerical Experiments**

|           | IP_iteration | PCG_it eration | terms: h | Spectral radius |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|
|           | 168          | Q              | 0        | 0               |
|           | 160          | 2              | 0        | ŏ               |
|           | 170          | 10             | 0        | 0               |
|           | 170          | 386            | 0        | 0               |
|           | 172          | 161            | 1        | 0.99            |
|           | 173          | 2              | 2        | 0.75            |
|           | 174          | 1              | 2        | 0.15            |
|           | 175          | 1              | 2        | 0,10            |
|           | 176          | 1              | 2        | 0.5             |
|           | 177          | 1              | 2        | 0.53            |
| Gridgen 1 | 178          | 10             | 2        | 0,99            |
|           | 179          | 1              | 3        | 0.7             |
|           | 180          | 1              | 3        | 0,73            |
|           | 181          | 2              | 3        | 0,84            |
|           | 182          | 4              | 3        | 0,95            |
|           | 183          | 1              | 4        | 0,44            |
|           | 184          | 1              | 4        | 0,28            |
|           | 185          | 1              | 4        | 0,51            |
|           | 186          | 1              | 4        | 0,48            |
|           | 187          | 2              | 4        | 0,94            |
|           | 189          | 1              | 5        | 0,44            |
|           | 190          | 2              | 5        | 0,81            |
|           | 191          | 4              | 5        | 0,98            |
|           |              |                |          |                 |

### **Numerical Experiments**

| Minimmum congestion problems   |   |         |     | Instanc  | es  | Constraints Variables                   |  |  | es  |  |
|--------------------------------|---|---------|-----|--|-----|---|--|--|-----|--|
|                                |   |         |     | M32-32<br>M64-64<br>M128-64<br>M128-128<br>M256-256<br>M512-64<br>M512-128 |     | $2 \\ 5 \\ 11 \\ 19 \\ 71 \\ 470 \\ 79$ | 2449<br>5564<br>.640<br>9867<br>.891<br>9075<br>9765 | $\begin{array}{r} 33533\\ 67962\\ 155742\\ 314243\\ 1139467\\ 634143\\ 1249145\end{array}$ |     | 33<br>62<br>42<br>43<br>67<br>43<br>45 |
| Dynamic h terms:<br>h in [0;5] |   |         |     | Original: h = 0 for all iterations   |     |   |  |  |     |  |
| Instance                       | C | CPU (s) | it  | PCG (it)   | h_0 | h_max                                   | CPU  | (s) it   | t   | PCG (it)                               |
| M32-32                         |   | 0,83    | 95  | 317  | 0   | 0                                       | 0  | ),84   | 95  | 317                                    |
| M64-64                         |   | 1,92    | 94  | 178  | 0   | 0                                       | 1  | ,94  | 94  | 178                                    |
| M128-64                        |   | 6,28    | 100 | 240  | 0   | 0                                       |  | 6,3  | 100 | 240                                    |
| M128-128                       |   | 15,59   | 100 | 234  | 0   | 0                                       | 15   | 5,54   | 100 | 234                                    |
| M256-256                       |   | 198,04  | 121 | 1319   | 0   | 0                                       | 198  | 3,03   | 121 | 1319                                   |
| M512-64                        |   | 130,37  | 123 | 2676   | 0   | 0                                       | 129  | 9,78   | 123 | 2676                                   |
| M512-128                       |   | 155,38  | 102 | 123  | 0   | 0                                       | 15   | 5,4  | 102 | 123                                    |

## Conclusions

- An adaptive selection of the number of terms in the power series preconditioner seems a good strategy to improve the performance of this preconditioner .
- Future tasks: Apply this adaptative scheme to test another classes of problems.